Indonesian Presidential Election 2004

Polling Station Observation Form

Instructions: Read the questions carefully. Put an “X” in the appropriate box. If you cannot answer the question, or it is not relevant, write N/A. If you answered “No” to any question, or violations or irregularities occurred, please provide details on the back of the form. When possible, ask domestic observers and/or political party agents for their observations during the period prior to your arrival. Record this information at the bottom of the form.

Province: KALBAR
City/District (Kota/Kabupaten): Pontianak
Village/Neighborhood (Desa/Kelurahan): Simpang Tengah
13 digit ID number from ballot box: #11

Polling Station (TPS) ID No: 11
Location (sub-district/kecamatan): Simpang Tengah
Arrival Time: 8.19 am
Departure Time: 9.30 am
In-Sample Polling Station: Yes 6171040003

Observer Names / Team Number: Krikri #19

Opening Procedures - Complete for each TPS in which the opening was witnessed:

1. Were the ballots counted and the number recorded in the opening report? Yes
2. Were the ballot boxes shown empty to all present, then locked and properly sealed? Yes
3. Did the TPS open on time (7:00 am local time)? List time: 7:40 am; first vote opened at 7:40

General - Complete for each Polling Station (TPS) visited:

4. Was the polling station clear of campaign materials, campaigning, or other attempts to influence voters in or around the TPS? If no, please specify Yes
5. Was the polling station free of intimidation, vote buying, disruption of the voting/counting process, or restrictions to voter access (roadblocks, mobs, etc)? If no, please specify Yes
6. Were all required materials, the voters’ list, official ink and ballot papers available? Yes
   Did TPS officials appear to be adequately trained and knowledgeable about their role? Yes

8. Were political party agents (poll monitors) or candidate witnesses from more than one party present? Yes
9. Were domestic monitors (e.g., JPPR, JAMPPI, CETRO, Rectors Forum, KIPP) present? if yes, please specify.

10. Was the process free of formal complaints to the TPS officials? If no, please specify Yes
11. Were other international observers (e.g., EU, embassies) present, or, had they visited the polling station? If yes, please specify Yes

The Voting Process - Complete for each TPS:

12. Were voters’ fingers checked for signs of ink as they entered the TPS? Yes
13. Were voter IDs checked against the voters’ list and the voter’s name crossed off? Yes
14. Did the Chair sign all ballots before they were given to the voters? Yes
15. Were all voters able to keep their vote secret during the entire voting process? Yes
16. Were voters’ fingers inked before they left the TPS? Yes
17. Were there any interruptions or delays of the voting process? Yes
18. Were you able to adequately observe all aspects of the voting process? Yes
19. Did the TPS close on time (1:00 pm local time)? Yes

Overall Assessment of the Polling Station

Put a “X” next to the statement that best describes your assessment of the election environment and voting process for the area you observed. If your response is “poor” or “very poor”, please provide further explanation in the comment section.

Very Good – No significant incidents or irregularities.

Good – A few incidents or irregularities that had no significant effect on the integrity of the process.

Average – Many incidents or irregularities that may have had a significant effect on the integrity of the process.

Poor – Incidents or irregularities that significantly affected the integrity of the process.

Very Poor – Incidents or irregularities occurred that so affected the integrity of the process as to render the results from one or more TPS objectionable.